

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,694	12/21/2001		Gary Brant	12085	4967
28484	7590	11/17/2003		EXAMINER	
BASF CO				COONEY	лони м
LEGAL DE 1609 BIDD		=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WYANDO	TTE, MI	48192	1711		
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	10/036,694	BRANT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John m Cooney	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Oc</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This a	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>14-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>14-27</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provided the priority of the foreign language provided in the first sentence of the priority of the priority of the first sentence of the priority of the p	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  d in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)	_						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10:</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/036,694

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 1003.

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claims 14-27) in Paper No. 1003 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada et al.(5,100,922) in view of Olstowski et al.(3,753,933) and Smits et al.(5,250,579).

Wada et al. discloses preparations of polyurethane foams wherein an isocyanate reactive component containing polyols, crosslinkers, catalysts, blowing agents, and other agents and additives are combined with an isocyanate component in a mold to obtain polyurethane foamed articles, and, particularly, integral-skin polyurethane foam articles. (see columns 7 and 8 and the examples, as well as, the entire document). Wada et al. differs from the claims in that the specific polymer additives of the claims are not specifically recited by their disclosure. However, Olstowski et al. discloses the arts recognition of the employment of polyethylene powders and fluorinated polymers as

Page 3

additives in the preparation of polyurethane foams, and Smits et al. discloses the employment of PTFE, particularly, in polyurethane foam synthesis. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the additive polyethylene and PTFE polymer powders disclosed by Olstowski et al. and Smits et al. in the preparations of Wada et al. for the purpose of imparting their processing and property modifying effects in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bruchmann et al. is cited for its disclosure (column 2 lines 49-56) of the use of polyethylene and PTFE as mechanical property modifiers in the related arts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John m Cooney whose telephone number is 703-308-2433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, james seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665. The centralized facsimile number is (703) 872-9306. The changes are effective October 1, 2003.

John m Cooney Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711